

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/059,562 04/14/1998		04/14/1998	TOSHIMITSU KONUMA	0756-1790 8686		
31780	7590 04/19/2005			EXAMINER		
	BINSON		NGUYEN, DUNG T			
PMB 955 21010 SOUTHBANK ST.				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
РОТОМА	C FALLS,	, VA 20165	2871			
				DATE MAIL ED: 04/19/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

TU

		Applicati	plication No. Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary			62	KONUMA ET AL.					
			7	Art Unit					
			ıyen	2871					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>03</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 January 2005.								
′=	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)□	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)🖂	Claim(s) 31-33,38,39,46-51,55-58,65,66,83-	94,99,106-1 ⁻	10 and 115-135 is/are p	ending in the app	olication.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>38,39,83-94,99,10</u>	6-108,116-11	9,122,123 and 128-13	2 is/are withdrawr	n from				
consideration.									
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) <u>31-33,46-51,55-58,65,67,109,110,115,120,121,124-127 and 133-135</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[_]	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers									
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei。 ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	gn priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have bee	n received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment	:(s)								
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 			Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/27/05. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:									

Application/Control Number: 09/059,562 Page 2

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 01/17/2005 has been entered.
- 2. Applicants' amendment dated 04/26/2004 and response dated 01/27/2005 have been received and entered. By the amendment, claims 31-33, 46-51, 55-58, 65, 67, 109-110, 115,120-121, 124-127 and 133-135 are now pending in the application.

Specification

3. The amendment filed 04/26/2004 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: the limitation of "said column-shape resin is formed by disposing a mixture of the liquid crystal and a curable resin between the pair of substrates and curing said curable resin" is not disclose in the original specification

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 2871

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Page 3

5. Claims 55-58 and 65-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Regarding claims 55-56, the limitation of "said column-shape resin is formed by disposing a mixture of the liquid crystal and a curable resin between the pair of substrates and curing said curable resin" cites with no support in the original specification.

Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 31-33, 49-51, 109-110, 115, 120-121, 124-127 and 133-135 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 and 17-19 of US Patent No. 5,594,569. Although the conflicting claims are not

Art Unit: 2871

identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the application and the US patent disclose a same liquid crystal display (LCD) device. The difference between the application and the '569 patent is a spacer being disposed between a pairs of substrate. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ a spacer in an LCD device since it is a common practice in the art in order to keep an uniform cell gap in the LCD device.

Remarks

IDS:

with respect to the Chandani et al. article (IDS filed 04/14/1998), an initialed copy of the PTO-

1449 has been attached with the advisory action mailed 05/18/2004.

with respect to the patent application 09/781,154 (IDS filed 01/25/2002) has been considered;

however, such citation has been crossed-out since it is not a prior art.

Double-Patenting rejection:

. Applicant's responses have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of

rejections as stated above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2297. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 09/059,562 Page 5

Art Unit: 2871

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 571-272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DN 04/16/2005 Dung Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2871